

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	:	CRIMINAL NO. _____
v.	:	DATE FILED: <u>March 27, 2007</u>
RODERICK S. VOSBURGH	:	VIOLATIONS:
	:	18 U.S.C. § 1519 (destroying evidence
	:	with intent to obstruct an investigation -
	:	1 count)
	:	18 U.S.C. § 2232 (destroying property to
	:	prevent seizure - 1 count)
	:	18 U.S.C. § 2252(a)(4)(B) and (b)(2)
	:	(possession of child pornography - 1
	:	count)

INDICTMENT

COUNT ONE

THE GRAND JURY CHARGES THAT:

On or about February 27, 2007, in Media, in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, defendant

RODERICK S. VOSBURGH

knowingly altered, destroyed and mutilated tangible objects, that is, several computer thumb drives and a hard drive, with the intent to impede, obstruct, and influence an investigation of a matter within the jurisdiction of the Federal Bureau of Investigation, that is, the illegal receipt and possession of child pornography.

In violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1519.

COUNT TWO

THE GRAND JURY FURTHER CHARGES THAT

On or about February 27, 2007, in Media, in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania,
defendant

RODERICK S. VOSBURGH,

before and during the seizure of property by agents from the Federal Bureau of Investigation and local law enforcement officers, persons authorized to make searches and seizures, knowingly destroyed and damaged, and attempted to destroy and damage, computer equipment, including thumb drives and a hard drive, for the purpose of preventing and impairing the government's lawful authority to take such property into its custody and control.

In violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 2232.

COUNT THREE

THE GRAND JURY FURTHER CHARGES THAT

On or about October 25, 2006, in Media, in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania,
defendant

RODERICK S. VOSBURGH

knowingly attempted to possess one or more visual depictions, that is, two video files, named
“bongzilla toddler HC / some anal / some oral,” described as:

here is one of my favs - 4yo hc with dad
(toddler, some oral, some anal) - supercute!
Haven't seen her on the board before-
if anyone has anymore, PLEASE POST,

that had been shipped and transported in interstate commerce. At the time the defendant did
this, the defendant believed that the visual depictions showed a minor engaged in sexually
explicit conduct, and that the producing of those visual depictions involved the use of a minor
engaged in sexually explicit conduct.

In violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 2252(a)(4)(B) and (b)(2).

A TRUE BILL:

GRAND JURY FOREPERSON

PATRICK L. MEEHAN
UNITED STATES ATTORNEY